



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,006	11/30/2000	Victor L. Vines M.D.	108747.00004	3430
7590	06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Thrasher Associates, LLP			SUN, XIUQIN	
391 Sandhill Dr.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richardson, TX 75080			2863	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/727,006 11/30/2002 Victor L. Vines M.D. 108747.00004

EXAMINER
----------

XIUQIN SUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2863

**DATE MAILED:****INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) XIUQIN SUN (3) John Barlow  
(2) Steven Thrasher (4) Victor Vines

Date of Interview \_\_\_\_\_

Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 19Identification of prior art discussed: of record and applied

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Applicant's representative discussed difference between prior art and the invention, specifically, the features in claims 1, 7 and 19. Applicant's argue that prior art fail to teach these features. Applicant is being asked to file a response. Examiner will consider applicant's response and make a new office action. Specifically either reference is not ~~available~~ to the Examiner or.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. (Acknowledged) Victor L. Vines M.D.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.